

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/383,742	08/26/1999	RABINDRANATH DUTTA	AT9-99-310	6984
35525 75	90 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORP (YA)			EDOUARD, PATRICK NESTOR	
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75380			2654	14
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/383,742	DUTTA ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick N. Edouard	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	pril 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 31-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-14,19-28,31,32 and 37 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-18,33-36 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Retent and Independ Office.	Paper No(s)/Mail D					



Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to communication filed 4/23/04 (paper #13). Claims 1-28 and 31-38 are pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-14, 19-28,31-32 and 37 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-18, 33-36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (Transcoding Internet Content for Heterogeneous Client Devices) in view of Motoyama et al (5,848,386).

Smith et al teach a transcoder processing system implemented method for converting documents based on semantic characteristics, comprising:

receiving at least one semantic characteristic preference from a client" (his clent device, page III-599, section 2, his internet content transcoder, a policy engine gathers the capabilities of the client, the networks conditions and the transcoding preference of the user and publisher)

Application/Control Number: 09/383,742

Art Unit: 2654

"syntactically transcoding a document " (his internet content transcoder); and

"converting at least one of the semantic characteristic of the document, wherein converting is based on the at least one semantic characteristic preference"

9fig. 1, his internet content transcoder, section 2, page III-599, the system selects the outputting versions of the contents and uses a library of content analysis, filtering translation and manipulation routines to generate the content to be delivered to the client).

It is noted that Smith et al teach the claimed invention but does not explicitly teach wherein the semantic characteristic preference is selected from the group including locale and compliance with government regulation". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Motoyama et al teach a system for translating a document form a first language to another language using different translation resources depending on the document portion being translated wherein each portion is tagged using a semantic tag at the abstract, figure 7 and col. 8, lines 60 to col. 9, line 15,. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have it obvious to incorporate into the transcoding system of Smith the semantic tag using different translation resources as taught by Motoyama because it would provide an accurate transcoder where semantic is taking into consideration.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/383,742

Art Unit: 2654

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick N. Edouard whose telephone number is 7033086725. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 703 3059645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/383,742

Art Unit: 2654

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick N. Edouard

July 8, 2004

PATRICK N. EDOUARD